

MEETING SUMMARY

Project Number	B000558/B000522	Date	Oct. 20, 2015	Meeting N°	3
Project Title	RHVP Safety Review/Linc CMC Review			Location	1375 Upper Ottawa

<input type="checkbox"/> Coordination	<input type="checkbox"/> Design Review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: Discussion of findings
<input type="checkbox"/> Start-up	<input type="checkbox"/> Validation	

PERSONS PRESENT	DISTRIBUTION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To Persons Present
Brian Malone, Giovanni Bottesini, Khaled Hawash (CIMA+)	Pedram Izadpanah
Martin White, David Ferguson, Gary Moore (City)	

Points Discussed	Person Responsible	Follow up
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BM summarized findings and recommendations from Linc report • Gary Moore highlighted that the design speed of the Linc is high and reducing posted speed would probably not make drivers slow down • David Ferguson mentioned the possibility of variable speed limits based on road conditions via City' s ATMS • BM added queue end warning • Mr. White mentioned parallel project (FTMS) with potential to integrate all ITS systems, expanding to RHVP as a whole • Discussion followed on the benefit/costs of providing the median barrier. Mr. Moore believe benefits will not offset costs and says the current situation reflects the risk the City is willing to assume. • Mr. White mentioned the political perception/interest has changed. • BM added that since council is interested in the barrier recommendation, the City may be able to request funding from council (taxpayer) <p style="text-align: center;">-----</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BM summarized findings and recommendations from RHVP report • Mr. Moore stated that friction testing was conducted recently following standards and resulted satisfactory • CIMA+ clarified that actual weather conditions occurring on the RHVP may exceed typical testing conditions and more rigorous testing could be undertaking in order to rule out pavement friction as a problem. Speeding is definitely a contributing factor but the contribution of pavement should be ruled out. • Issues with illumination discussed (cost + environmental restrictions) 		

MEETING SUMMARY

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Address speed and wet surface first and re-evaluate benefit of median barrier (overview provided on short term measures) <p style="text-align: center;">-----</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mr. Moore prefers using the term “ potential solutions” instead of “ recommendations” and City will consider all required context to make decisions • City will let CIMA know whether additional work or further assistance are required. 	City	
--	------	--

Giovani Bottesini	October 20, 2015
PREPARED BY	DATE